Timeline for Full- Time Lecturer Evaluations with One-Year or Three-Year Appointments Spring Semester 202

Procedures

- 1. The department peer review committee is formed. When practicable, the committeeshall be identical to the departmen RTP committee from the Fall. (See the procedures specified in the RTP Policy)
- 2. The lecture may ask tenured/tenur trackpeers to provide written input or review on behalf of the lecturer. The lecture ay submit this input or review to his/her department chair.
- 3. The lecturer may submit his/her Annual Setsesment (Senate Policy28, AppendixA), a copyof his/herappointmentetter, and otherevaluative material his/her department chair and his/her department peer review committee.
- 4. The department chair completes the Department Chair's Annual Evaluation (SenatePolicy 528, Appendix B) and forwards the evaluation to the appropriate Academic Dean and gives a copy to the lecturer.
- 5. The department peerreview committee completes the peartment Peer Review Committee's Evaluation (Senate Policy 528, Appendix C) and forwards the evaluation to the appropriate Academic Dean and gives a copy to the lecturer.
- 6. The lecture may write a response the department hair's evaluation and/or the department peer committee's evaluation lecturer shall give the response to the appropriate Academic Dean and provide a copy of the response to the department chair and/or the chair of the peer review committee.
- 7. The appropriate Academic Deancompletes the appropriate or the Department Chair's Evaluation and the Department Peer Review Committee's Evaluation. If the Deandoesnot concur