

Senate Executive Committee Meeting Minutes
Thursday, October 15, 2020, 11 AM

provost." Chair notes that the CARB grant was a contract, so it's accurate to say it's not *research*. But scholarship as we define it is broader than traditional research that leads to publication, and industry investigation like the CARB program should still count for the "scholarship" category of RTP. McNie says based on her knowledge, the people working on the CARB program were told that involvement in the CARB grant did *not* "count" for RTP scholarship, and they had to do research leading to publication. But McNie adds that this is not part of this resolution. Isakson adds that to have an expectation that someone untrained, who doesn't have a PhD, is expected to do same type of research as a PhD is unfair. Senk agrees, notes at her last job the institution employed artists and filmmakers and the standards for "scholarship" did *not* include publications but included things like performances, acceptances at film festivals, etc. It should be the same way here: based on norms dependent on the field, based on ways people in a field maintain and showcase their professional expertise. Provost says that's her understanding too. Yip notes that this is something tangential to this resolution.

Committee discusses the resolved section about funding residing within each school. Comment on Forms asks if this might "create an environment that limits collaboration of faculty between schools" and asks, "how would funding brought in by a cross-disciplinary team be handled?" Trevisan suggests creating a formula dictating how percentages are allocated, but it may be too specific for this resolution. Pinisetty notes that a faculty member asked a similar question at the last Senate meeting. If we're trying to come up with models we should also identify how it works at other universities; we can come up with a guideline model for the committee.

Yip argues that we're losing sight of the idea the main issue is using funding as seeds for new funding, and that discussions of territorialism are a digression.

Pinisetty suggests we add something saying "the committee will look into different models about how funding will be distributed." Yip says the essence is to regard funding as rewards and incentives for generating more. Committee decides we will not make these changes to the resolution, but will clarify that the task force

Provost adds: "One suggestion I have is editorial. The resolution refers to "Financial and Administrative (F&A) but it is Facilities and Administration in the industry." Yip will make

VI.