

models would work best for our campus. Yip also gives thanks for the feedback about representing the Library, who are important faculty and scholars as well.

Chair opens the floor for questions regarding resolution

Browne asks for rationale for sending resolution to other campus provosts and senate chairs.

Yip says part of this is because they have tremendous amounts of experience managing grants.

We want to be on their radar to say we are looking at this. Part of this resolution is to have people who administer our grants learn from more experienced people in a kind of shadow environment. We'd like to foster an environment of collaboration and make them aware that they should expect a call, perhaps.

Browne says he is not sure whether to make an amendment. Seems like the best way to accomplish that is to just call and ask rather than air our dirty laundry to other CSUs about how we don't know what we're doing.

Simons says in chat: I would agree with Steve, we don't need to disseminate to other campuses. We can ask folks on other campuses for input, etc. but there are better ways to get on their radar for research and collaborations

Hanson adds that she agrees that we do not need to CC all of these people; we can just reach out directly and ask.

Dewey says he doesn't see it as "airing dirty laundry" to say that we are seeking to ramp up our research programs. Senk agrees.

Steve moves to amend the resolution to delete "and to the Provosts.... CSU campuses."

VOTE: 11 in support, 4 against, 1 abstention. Motion passes.

Hanson asks if we should have a vote about how to get feedback if we are not disseminating the resolution to other campuses. Chair suggests leaving that to the committee to decide.

Hanson proposes language to make the resolved sections more inclusive of the Library.3(i)(7)(ons)-6(0.025 609

