Senate Executive Committee Meeting (10/28/2021)

Attendees: Christine Isakson, Bets McNie (Vice Chair), Matthew Fairbanks (Secretary), Wil Tsai, Margot Hanson, Frank Yip, Dinesh Pinisetty (Chair, slightly scuffed today)

Minutes

- After a brief discussion on small corrections and adjustments, Yip motions to approve, Tsai seconds, 10/18 minutes approved as amended by acclamation.
- o Similarly, 10/19 minutes approved as amended by acclamation.

Karen Yoder, Athletic Director Presenting a Proposed Cheering Section for Athletic Events

o "The Watch" is going to be the name of the people in the section.

- Pinisetty entered the meeting at this point. Apologized for his tardiness, and explained that he fell down the stairs coming from Lot E on the hill, somehow escaping serious injury.
- o This prompted a brief discussion of how hazardous those stairs are, particularly after the first rain of the season, and the parking situation on lower campus. Lot B is apparently closed due to instability in some of the nearby eucalyptus trees after the recent storm.

AIC Policy, Professor Taiyo Inoue Presenting

- Timeline: Summer 2020 was the first draft, went to Senate in October 2020, approved by Senate in February 2021, in late July 2021 there was a meeting with Inoue, Pinisetty, and the Provost. There were concerns about the purview of the AIC policy, and some disagreement on the subject of faculty academic malfeasance and whether it was an HR or academic matter.
- o Inoue changed the policy in response to these concerns from the President and administration. Pinisetty was there and could giv

- policy should also be the same time period in which the administration are reviewing the same draft. So, when it is approved by the Senate, there will already be knowledge and perhaps tacit approval of the Senate-approved policy.
- O Pinisetty noted that the by-laws were done in this way, but the by-laws now say that the procedure is: pass the policy, then submit for approval (or not).
- o Tsai so, we should try for the 'reconciliation' process [the parallel process described above] in the future. For this document, we should have the Senate re-visit it.

0

- o Some discussion of whether they were presented at ASCSU. Answer No.
- o Some more discussion and confusion surrounding who received the videos,