




CAL MARITIME

ACADEMIC SENATE

POLICY NO. 527

ISSUE DATE: 11-18-05 REVISION DATE:	POLICY: EVALUATION OF TENURED FACULTY WITHIN THEIR ACADEMIC ASSIGNMENTS
REFERENCE:	
APPROVED:	
 President, California Maritime Academy	
 Chair, Academic Senate	
 Chair, Senate Policy Committee	

learning and faculty growth, is repeatedly emphasized in all faculty review processes during a faculty member's probationary period at the California Maritime Academy. The granting of tenure is evidence of both the Academy's confidence in a faculty member's ongoing commitment to that goal of quality. Accordingly, a periodic reaffirmation of that commitment is appropriate.

POLICY:

For the purpose of maintaining and improving a tenured faculty member's effectiveness following performance review provisions for members of its tenured faculty. The goal of this performance review is to lend elements of structure to the ongoing introspection of one's professional effectiveness that we all assume occurs as a matter of course and to demonstrate the degree to which the Academy has met its responsibility to be a partner in the growth and development of its tenured faculty.

Five-year and ten-year intervals are intended for members of the tenured faculty. Within academic colleagues will evaluate the faculty member's effectiveness.

ACADEMIC SENATE POLICY NO. 527

EVALUATION OF TENURED FACULTY WITHIN

THEIR ACADEMIC ASSIGNMENTS

PAGE 2

discretion of the Academic Dean, or other designee of the VPAA, a tenured faculty member's review for promotion may serve as a post-tenure review.

For each faculty member being reviewed, the peer review committee shall include the faculty member's department chair (who shall serve as the committee chair) and two tenured or tenure track members of the faculty member's department (one member to be selected by the faculty member being evaluated, one member to be selected by the chair of the department). In the event that the department chair is the faculty member being reviewed, a tenured member of the chair's department elected from within the department shall serve as chair of the peer review committee and assume all post-tenure peer review committee responsibilities of the department chair during the review process. In the event that a department does not have enough tenured or tenure track faculty to comprise a peer review committee, committee members may be chosen from other departments. If the department has no other tenured members to serve as the committee chair, the committee chair shall be chosen from the other department chairs by the Academic Dean or the designee of the VPAA. In the formation of a peer review committee, any tenure track faculty member may decline to serve.

(2) Notification of an appointment to the position of the Academic Dean or other designee of the VPAA as the appropriate administrator.

(3) Submission of an Effectiveness in Academic Assignment Dossier (EAAD) (see attached) to the chair of the faculty member's peer review committee, said Dossier to include, at a minimum,

- (a) a brief self-study statement including current teaching philosophy;
- (b) a completed Post Tenure Effectiveness in Academic Assignment Questionnaire (attachment A) or a statement summarizing this information;
- (c) student evaluations of teaching effectiveness (SUMMA or equivalent) for a minimum of two courses per year, for each of the four years immediately prior to the post-tenure review;
- (d) a current curriculum vitae.

(4) After review of the faculty member's Effectiveness in Academic Assignment Dossier, the peer review committee will write an evaluation based upon contents

**ACADEMIC SENATE POLICY NO. 527
EVALUATION OF TENURED FACULTY WITHIN
THEIR ACADEMIC ASSIGNMENTS
PAGE 3**

of the Dossier. The faculty member being reviewed will have one week to respond to this evaluation if they deem such a response appropriate. Within three weeks of submission of the Dossier (but no later than April 1st), the post-tenure review committee and the designated administrator will meet with the faculty member. The purpose of this meeting will be to discuss the faculty member's academic professional strengths and areas within the faculty member's academic assignment wherein improvements in performance might occur. The administrator shall prepare a summary review and report at its conclusion. A copy of this review will be given to the faculty member and copies of the post-tenure review committee's and the administrator's reports will be placed in the faculty member's Personnel Action File. The faculty member may submit a written rebuttal to the post-tenure review committee's and/or administrator's report for inclusion in his or her Personnel Action File.

- (5) It shall be the responsibility of individual departments and individual department chairs to coordinate the five-year, post-tenure reviews of faculty within their departments.

Attachment A

CALIFORNIA MARITIME ACADEMY
Post Tenure Effectiveness in Academic Assignment Questionnaire

NAME: _____ DEPARTMENT: _____ DATE: _____

RANK: _____ APPOINTMENT DATE: _____

YRS AT PRESENT RANK: _____ DATE OF TENURE: _____

I. Teaching & Contributions to Student Development...

Teaching Load: (courses, sections, enrollments) _____

Student Evaluations: (summaries) _____

Pedagogical Growth and Development Efforts: _____

Other: _____

II. Scholarly, Creative & Professional Activities

Scholarly Activities: _____

Creative Activities: _____

Professional Activities: _____

Other: _____

III. University, Academy, and Community Service...

(related to your professional status) _____

Service to Students: _____

Service to the Department: _____

Service to the Academy: _____

Service to the Profession: _____

Other: _____

I attest that the above is accurate and true, to the best of my knowledge

Signed: _____ Date: _____

15.26

In an academic year or work year in which a probationary faculty unit employee is not subject to a Performance Review for retention, the probationary faculty unit employee shall be subject to periodic evaluation.

15.27

Periodic evaluations shall be conducted by the peer review committee of the department or equivalent unit, and shall include, in the consideration of student evaluations of teaching performance, when teaching duties have been assigned and student evaluations are available.

15.28

A written record of a periodic evaluation shall be placed in the probationary faculty unit employee's Personnel Action File. A probationary faculty unit employee shall be provided a copy of the written record of the periodic evaluation.

Periodic Evaluation of Tenured Faculty Unit Employees

15.29

For the purpose of maintaining and improving a tenured faculty unit employee's effectiveness, tenured faculty unit employees shall be subject to periodic performance evaluations at intervals of no greater than five (5) years. Such periodic evaluations shall be conducted by a peer review committee of the department or equivalent unit, and the appropriate administrator. For those with teaching responsibilities, consideration shall include student evaluations of teaching performance.

15.30

A tenured faculty unit employee shall be provided a copy of the peer committee report of his/her periodic evaluation. The peer review committee chair and the appropriate administrator shall meet with the tenured faculty to discuss his/her strengths and weaknesses along with suggestions, if any, for his/her improvement.

15.31

A copy of the peer committee's and the appropriate administrator's summary reports shall be placed in the tenured faculty unit employee's Personnel Action File.

Performance Review

15.32

A Performance Review of a faculty unit employee shall be conducted for the following purposes: